

IN THE MATTER OF THE TRANSPORT WORKS ACT 1992
AND THE APPLICATION BY CHILTERN RAILWAYS (BICESTER TO
OXFORD IMPROVEMENTS) ORDER

RE: THE PROPOSED CLOSURE OF THE PEDESTRIAN LEVEL-
CROSSING
AT THE TRAP GROUNDS OFF ARISTOTLE LANE, OXFORD

Department of Transport Reference TWA/10/APP/01/OBJ/5

SUBMISSION TO PUBLIC LOCAL INQUIRY

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF CHILTERN RAILWAYS' NOTE TO
THE INSPECTOR ON TRAP GROUND ALLOTMENTS ASSOCIATION
CAR PARKING (CRCL/INQ/29)

Made by:

Ian Salisbury
24 Lathbury Road
Oxford OX2 7AU

tel: 01865 250777

ian@salisbury.eu

fax: 01865 250999

DX: 145844 Oxford 6

Request

1 *Re: Note paragraph 1:*

1.1 Re: "...land owned by Network Rail...". By Certificate dated 12 November 2010 (ref 157/Q67HSJB) the Land Registry has stated there is no registered estate in Plot No 29002. Please provide the basis of evidence with supporting documentation that entitles Network Rail to the ownership of this land.

Re: "...[no] legal right to use this land...". Please describe the basis upon which this claim is made.

1.2 Re: "*The whole of Plot No. 29002 will be required for the purpose of work No.3.*"

1.2.1 Please say whether this land will be required permanently or only temporarily while the work No.3 is undertaken.

1.2.2 Please describe how the "space [that] will be adequate" (stated in parol evidence by Mr Barker, 3 November 2010) to house the safe refuge and the new track is now described to be so wide as to necessitate to use of "the whole" of this land.

1.2.3 Please provide a plan to illustrate your answer to 1.2.2.

1.2.4 Please say whether Plot No 29002 includes the scrubland between the car park and the foundation of the acoustic fence erected on the boundary with SS. Philip & James School.

2 *Re: Note paragraph 2:*

2.1 Re: the entire first sentence of 2.1.

2.1.1 Please say whether the description "disabled allotment users" includes the full compass of disabilities (including, for instance, those with HIV, cancer and multiple sclerosis) or whether there is any class of disabled person excluded in this assertion who might now or in future

2.1.1.1 be able to cross the full extent of the level crossing unassisted

2.1.1.2 also be able to carry out at least some tasks on an allotment (such as for instance picking flowers or directing a spouse)

2.1.1.3 be unable to manage "the alternative access over the bridge" expected of them if the level crossing is to be closed.

2.2 Re: "*make a car parking provision ... for disabled users*"

- 2.2.1 Please say whether this assertion is based upon an assumption that all disabled allotment holders are now or in the future car drivers.
- 2.2.2 Please say whether there is any class of disabled person excluded in this statement who might now or in future be unable to open the heavy gates on Port Meadow without assistance.
- 2.3 The first sentence of paragraph 2.3 is not understood. Please explain its meaning.

Ian Salisbury..... 23 November 2010